arXiv:1409.1302v1 [math.NT] 4 Sep 2014

A product formula of Mumford forms, and the rationality of Ruelle zeta values for Schottky groups

Takashi Ichikawa

Abstract

In any positive genus case, we show an explicit formula of the Mumford forms expressed by infinite products like Selberg type zeta values for Schottky groups. This result is considered as an extension of the formula in terms of Ramanujan's Delta function in the genus 1 case, and is especially applied to studying the rationality of Ruelle zeta values for Schottky groups.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{M}_g$ be the moduli stack over $\mathbb{Z}$ of proper smooth (algebraic) curves of genus $g > 0$, and $\pi : \mathcal{C}_g \to \mathcal{M}_g$ be the universal curve. Then for each positive integer k, we have the kth tautological bundle, and the kth tautological line bundle

$$\Lambda_k = \pi_* \left(\Omega^{\otimes k}_{\mathcal{C}_g/\mathcal{M}_g} \right), \text{ and } \lambda_k = \det(\Lambda_k)$$

on $\mathcal{M}_g$ respectively. Put $d_k = 6k^2 - 6k + 1$. Then by a result of Mumford [M2], λ_k is isomorphic to $\lambda_1^{\otimes d_k}$, and hence there exists a nowhere vanishing global section $\mu_{g;k}$ of $\lambda_k^{\otimes(-1)} \otimes \lambda_1^{\otimes d_k}$ (with certain boundary condition) which is called the *Mumford form* and is uniquely determined up to a sign. It is shown in [D2] and [GS] using Arakelov theory that $\mu_{g;k}$ gives an isometry (up to a constant) between λ_k and $\lambda_1^{\otimes d_k}$ with Quillen metric.

In particular, $\mu_{g;2}$ was well studied since λ_2 is isomorphic to the volume form on $\mathcal{M}_g$ by Kodaira-Spencer theory, and $\mu_{g;2}$ is connected with bosonic string measure. As is well known, under the trivialization of $\lambda_2^{\otimes(-1)} \otimes \lambda_1^{\otimes 13}$ arising from the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\Lambda_1) \to \Lambda_2$, $\mu_{1;2}$ is expressed by Ramanujan's Delta function $\Delta(\tau)$ as

$$\mu_{1;2} = \Delta(\tau) \overset{\text{def}}{=} q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{24}\,;\ q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau}\ \ (\mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0)\,,$$

and $\mu_{2;2} = (\theta_2/2^6)^2$, where θ_g denotes the Siegel modular form defined as the product of even theta constants of degree g. Furthermore, it is shown in [I2, 4] that $\mu_{3;2} = \sqrt{-\theta_3/2^{28}}$,

and that $\mu_{4;2}$ is expressed as certain derivatives of the normalized Schottky's Siegel modular form.

In order to study Mumford forms for any g and k, we consider Schottky uniformization theory which gives rise to local coordinates on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{C})$ of Riemann surfaces of genus g in terms of Schottky groups $\Gamma \subset PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of rank g. Let X_Γ denote the Riemann surface which is Schottky uniformized by Γ. Then McIntyre-Takhtajan [MT] constructed the period map which is a non-degenerate pairing between the space $H^0\left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma}\right)$ of holomorphic k-forms on X_Γ, and the Eichler cohomology group of Γ with coefficients in polynomials of degree $\leq 2k-2$. By fixing generators of Γ, we have the *normalized* basis of $H^0\left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma}\right)$ which is defined to be dual to a canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the Eichler cohomology group, and hence there is a local trivialization of λ_k given by their exterior products. Under this trivialization, Zograf [Z1, 2] and McIntyre-Takhtajan [MT] gave the holomorphic factorization formula which represents an isomorphism between λ_k with Quillen metric and the classical Liouville action in terms of the higher genus version of $\Delta(\tau)$. From their formula, we have the following expression of $\mu_{g;k}$ by an infinite product for $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g \rangle$, namely, there exists a nonzero constant $c(g;k)$ depending only on g and k such that

$$c(g;k) \cdot \mu_{g;k} = \frac{\prod_{\{\gamma\}} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - q_\gamma^m\right)^{d_k} \cdot \prod_{m=k}^{\infty} \left(1 - q_\gamma^m\right)^{d_k - 1} \right)}{\left(1 - q_{\gamma_1}\right)^2 \cdots \left(1 - q_{\gamma_1}^{k-1}\right)^2 \left(1 - q_{\gamma_2}^{k-1}\right)},$$

where q_γ denotes the multiplier of γ and $\{\gamma\}$ runs over primitive conjugacy classes of Γ. Then a main result of this paper states:

Theorem (cf. Theorem 6.1). $c(g;k) = \pm 1$.

Note that the right hand side of the above formula becomes $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)^{24}$ when $g = 1$, $k = 2$, and hence this theorem gives a generalization of the product formula of $\mu_{1;2}$ using $\Delta(\tau)$ (the absence of the factor q comes from the difference of trivializations of λ_2). This theorem is also extended in Theorem 3.4 for pointed algebraic curves.

The proof of this theorem is obtained by applying the arithmetic version of Schottky uniformization theory [I2] to the following simple fact in number theory: *if two integral power series are known to be proportional to each other and primitive (i.e., not congruent to* 0 *modulo any prime), then these power series are equal up to a sign.* Here this uniformization theory gives rise to generalized Tate curves whose normalized regular 1-forms $\{\omega_i\}$ and multiplicative periods have universal expressions as integral power series. Since $\mu_{g;k}$ is a "primitive" object for the $\mathbb{Z}$-structure of $\mathcal{M}_g$, the key point of the proof is to show

the assertion that the above trivialization of λ_k comes from a basis of regular k-forms on a generalized Tate curve. In the previous version of the paper, we proved this assertion only for $k = 2$ by constructing a subset of $\{\omega_i\omega_j\}$ which spans generically the normalized basis of $H^0\left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma}\right)$ over a field of any characteristic (see 6.2). In this revised version, we prove this assertion for any k by studying the behavior of λ_k and its trivialization associated with the period map under the degeneration and resolution of Riemann surfaces. Then our theorem is shown by considering such processes from a generalized Tate curve to a pointed Tate curve for which the normalized basis is easily constructed.

As an application of the above theorem, we consider the rationality of the special values of the Ruelle zeta function

$$R_\Gamma(s) = \prod_{\{\gamma\}} (1 - |q_\gamma|^s)^{-1}$$

for Γ based on the fact that if $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, then the infinite products of Zograf and McIntyre-Takhtajan become Selberg type zeta values. A conjecture of Deligne [D1], which is extended by Beilinson [B] and Bloch-Kato [BK], states the following: each special value of the Hasse-Weil L-function of a motive $\mathcal{M}$ becomes the product of its transcendental part represented by the period or regulator of $\mathcal{M}$, and its rational part represented by the arithmetic invariant of $\mathcal{M}$. As its analogy for *geometric* zeta functions, we show the following:

Theorem (see Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.3 for the precise statement). *Assume that $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and that the algebraic curve X_Γ is defined over a subfield K of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $c(\Gamma)$ denote the "value" at Γ of Zograf's infinite product divided by the period of regular 1-forms on X_Γ. Then the modified Ruelle zeta value of Γ at any integer $k > 1$ belongs to*

$$\frac{\textit{period of regular } (k+1)\textit{-forms on } X_\Gamma}{\textit{period of regular } k\textit{-forms on } X_\Gamma} \cdot c(\Gamma)^{12k} \cdot K^\times.$$

We also express this zeta value by the discriminant of X_Γ based on results of Saito [S], however we have no result on the rational or transcendental property of $c(\Gamma)$. Notice that using a result of [I3], one can construct Schottky groups $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that X_Γ are hyperelliptic and defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

By using the Selberg trace formula, the leading term at the central point 0 of the Ruelle L function of a hyperbolic manifold M was studied by Fried [Fri] when M is compact, and by Park [P], Sugiyama [Su1-5] and Gon-Park [GP] when M has finite volume. Especially, it seems interesting that Sugiyama's results for hyperbolic 3-folds are regarded as a geometric analog to Iwasawa theory and the Beilinson conjecture. In our case where X_Γ is the

boundary of the hyperbolic 3-fold $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$, the above theorem states that the rationality of the Ruelle zeta values of M at positive integers is controlled by the field of definition of its boundary.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank deeply J. Park for his introduction of Zograf's formula which gave a motivation of this work. The author also would like to thank A. McIntyre, K. Sugiyama and S. Koyama for their valuable comments on this work.

2. Formulas of Zograf and of McIntyre-Takhtajan

2.1. A *marked* Schottky group $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$ consists of a Schottky group $\Gamma \subset PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$ with its generators $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g$. Then taking the quotient by Γ of its region of discontinuity $\Omega_\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have the Riemann surface $X_\Gamma = \Omega_\Gamma/\Gamma$ of genus g which is called Schottky uniformized by Γ. For each marked Schottky group $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$, there are open domains $D_{\pm i} \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ $(1 \leq i \leq g)$ bounded by Jordan curves $C_{\pm i}$ such that $\gamma_i \left(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} - D_{-i}\right)$ is the closure of D_i. Then

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} - \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{g} (D_i \cup D_{-i}) \right)$$

is a fundamental domain of Ω_Γ for Γ, and there is a basis $\omega_1, ..., \omega_g$ of holomorphic 1-forms on X_Γ which is *normalized* in the sense that $\dfrac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \oint_{C_i} \omega_j$ is Kronecker's delta δ_{ij}, where C_i is counterclockwise oriented. Since $\Gamma - \{1\}$ consists of hyperbolic, i.e., loxodromic elements, each $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{1\}$ has uniquely *attractive* (resp. *repulsive*) fixed points α_γ (resp. β_γ) in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and *multiplier* $q_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $0 < |q_\gamma| < 1$, and

$$\frac{\gamma(z) - \alpha_\gamma}{\gamma(z) - \beta_\gamma} = q_\gamma \frac{z - \alpha_\gamma}{z - \beta_\gamma} \ \left(z \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$$

which means

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_\gamma & \beta_\gamma \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_\gamma & \beta_\gamma \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \bmod \left(\mathbb{C}^\times\right).$$

For $\gamma = \gamma_i$, we put $\alpha_\gamma = \alpha_i$, $\beta_\gamma = \alpha_{-i}$ and $q_\gamma = q_i$, and we call $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$ *normalized* if $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_{-1} = \infty$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$. Each marked Schottky group is conjugate to a unique

normalized one, and if $q_1, ..., q_g$ are sufficiently small, then the exponent of convergence $\delta(\Gamma)$ of Γ satisfies $\delta(\Gamma) < 1$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_g$ be the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces X of genus g which is the complex orbifold associated with $\mathcal{M}_g$. Then $H^0\left(X, \Omega_X^k\right)$ gives the fiber of Λ_k at the point corresponding to X. Let $\mathfrak{S}_g$ be the Schottky space of degree g which is, by definition, a connected complex manifold classifying marked normalized Schottky groups $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$ of rank g. Then by $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g) \mapsto X_\Gamma$, we have the natural covering map $\mathfrak{S}_g \to \mathfrak{M}_g$, and the above $\omega_1, ..., \omega_g$ give a basis of Λ_1 over $\mathfrak{S}_g$.

Let $S : \mathfrak{S}_g \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the classical Liouville action defined in [ZT].

2.2. We review results of Zograf [Z1, 2] and of McIntyre-Takhtajan [MT].

Zograf's formula (cf. [Z1, 2] and [MT, Theorem 1]).

(1) *There exists a holomorphic function $F(1)$ on $\mathfrak{S}_g$ which gives an isometry between λ_1 on $\mathfrak{M}_g$ with Quillen metric and the holomorphic line bundle on $\mathfrak{M}_g$ determined by the hermitian metric $\exp(S/12\pi)$. More precisely, there exists a positive real number c_g depending only on g such that*

$$\exp\left(\frac{S}{12\pi}\right) = c_g \left|F(1)\right|^2 \left\|\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_g\right\|_{\mathrm{Q}}^2,$$

where $\| * \|_{\mathrm{Q}}$ denotes the Quillen metric.

(2) *On the open subset of $\mathfrak{S}_g$ corresponding to Schottky groups Γ with $\delta(\Gamma) < 1$, $F(1)$ is given by the absolutely convergent infinite product*

$$\prod_{\{\gamma\}} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - q_\gamma^{1+m}\right),$$

where $\{\gamma\}$ runs over primitive conjugacy classes of Γ.

Assume that $g > 1$, and take an integer $k > 1$. Let $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$ be a marked normalized Schottky group, and $\mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of polynomials $f = f(z)$ of z with degree $\leq 2k-2$ on which Γ acts as

$$\gamma(f)(z) = f\left(\gamma(z)\right) \cdot \gamma'(z)^{1-k} \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma,\ f \in \mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}).$$

Take $\xi_{1,k-1}$, $\xi_{2,1}, ..., \xi_{2,2k-2}$, $\xi_{i,0}, ..., \xi_{i,2k-2}$ $(3 \leq i \leq g)$ as elements of the Eichler cohomology group $H^1\left(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}\right)$ of Γ which are uniquely determined by the condition:

$$\xi_{i,j}(\gamma_l) = \begin{cases} \delta_{2l}(z-1)^j & (i = 2), \\ \delta_{il} z^j & (i \neq 2) \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq l \leq g$. Then it is shown in [MT, Section 4] that

$$\Psi_{g;k}(\varphi, \xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{g} \oint_{C_i} \varphi \cdot \xi(\gamma_i) dz$$

for $\varphi \in H^0\left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma}\right)$, $\xi \in H^1\left(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}\right)$ is a non-degenerate pairing on

$$H^0\left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma}\right) \times H^1\left(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}\right).$$

Then there exists a basis

$$\{\varphi_{1,k-1},\ \varphi_{2,1}, ..., \varphi_{2,2k-2},\ \varphi_{i,0}, ..., \varphi_{i,2k-2}\ (3 \leq i \leq g)\}$$

which we call the *normalized basis* of $H^0\left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma}\right)$ such that $\Psi_{g;k}\left(\varphi_{i,j}, \xi_{l,m}\right) = \delta_{il} \cdot \delta_{jm}$.

Remark. Since $-\pi \cdot \Psi_{g;k}$ is the pairing given in [MT, (4.1)],

$$\left\{-\frac{\varphi_{1,k-1}}{\pi},\ -\frac{\varphi_{2,1}}{\pi}, ..., -\frac{\varphi_{2,2k-2}}{\pi},\ -\frac{\varphi_{j,0}}{\pi}, ..., -\frac{\varphi_{j,2k-2}}{\pi}\ (3 \leq j \leq g)\right\}$$

is the *natural basis for n-differentials* defined in [MT].

In what follows, put

$$\left\{\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{(2k-1)(g-1)}\right\} = \{\varphi_{1,k-1},\ \varphi_{2,1}, ..., \varphi_{2,2k-2},\ \varphi_{j,0}, ..., \varphi_{j,2k-2}\ (3 \leq j \leq g)\}.$$

McIntyre-Takhtajan's formula (cf. [MT, Theorem 2]). *Assume that $k > 1$.*

(1) *There exists a holomorphic function $F(k)$ on $\mathfrak{S}_g$ which gives an isometry between λ_k on $\mathfrak{M}_g$ with Quillen metric and the holomorphic line bundle on $\mathfrak{M}_g$ determined by the hermitian metric $\exp\left(S/12\pi\right)^{d_k}$. More precisely, there exists a positive real number $c_{g;k}$ depending only on g and k such that*

$$\exp\left(\frac{S}{12\pi}\right)^{d_k} = c_{g;k} \left|F(k)\right|^2 \left\|\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{(2k-1)(g-1)}\right\|^2_{\mathrm{Q}},$$

where $\| * \|_{\mathrm{Q}}$ denotes the Quillen metric.

(2) *On the whole Schottky space $\mathfrak{S}_g$ classifying marked normalized Schottky groups $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$, $F(k)$ is given by the absolutely convergent infinite product*

$$\left(1 - q_{\gamma_1}\right)^2 \cdots \left(1 - q_{\gamma_1}^{k-1}\right)^2 \left(1 - q_{\gamma_2}^{k-1}\right) \prod_{\{\gamma\}} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - q_\gamma^{k+m}\right),$$

where $\{\gamma\}$ *runs over primitive conjugacy classes of* Γ.

Remark. It is noticed in [MT, Remark 6] that by the extra factors of $F(k)$ in (2), the right hand side of the formula in (1) is invariant under permutations of the generators $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g$.

2.3. From the above formulas, we have:

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a nonzero constant* $c(g;k)$ *depending only on* g *and* k *such that*

$$c(g;k) \cdot \mu_{g;k} \left(\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{(2k-1)(g-1)}\right) = \frac{F(1)^{d_k}}{F(k)} \left(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_g\right)^{\otimes d_k},$$

and that

$$|c(g;k)|^2 = \frac{c_{g;k}}{(c_g)^{d_k}} \exp\left[(g-1)(k^2-k)\left(24\zeta'_{\mathbb{Q}}(-1)-1\right)\right],$$

where $\zeta'_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)$ *denotes the derivative of the Riemann zeta function* $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)$.

Proof. By the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem for algebraic curves (cf. [D2, GS]), the Mumford isomorphism $\mu_{g;k}$ gives rise to an isometry

$$(\lambda_k)_{\mathrm{Q}} \cong (\lambda_1)_{\mathrm{Q}}^{\otimes d_k} \cdot \exp\left[a(g)(1-d_k)/12\right]$$

between the metrized tautological line bundles with Quillen metric on $\mathcal{M}_g$, where $a(g) = (1-g)\left(24\zeta'_{\mathbb{Q}}(-1)-1\right)$ is the Deligne constant. Therefore, by the formulas of Zograf and of McIntyre-Takhtajan, there exists a holomorphic function $c(g;k)$ on $\mathfrak{S}_g$ satisfying the above formula such that $|c(g;k)|$ is a constant function with value

$$\sqrt{c_{g;k}/(c_g)^{d_k}} \exp\left[a(g)(1-d_k)/12\right].$$

Since $\mathfrak{S}_g$ is connected, $c(g;k)$ is also a constant function. $\square$

3. Mumford isomorphism for pointed curves

3.1. Fix integers $g, n \geq 0$ such that $2g-2+n>0$, and let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the moduli stack over $\mathbb{Z}$ of stable n-pointed curves of genus g. Then by definition, there exists the universal curve $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and the universal sections $\sigma_j : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}$ $(1 \leq j \leq n)$. Denote

by $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ the open substack of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ classifying proper smooth n-pointed curves of genus g, and put $\partial\mathcal{M}_{g,n} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} - \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Then we have the following line bundles on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{g,n;k} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \det R\pi_* \left(\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}^{k} \left(k \sum_j \sigma_j \right) \right), \\
\psi_{g,n} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \psi_{g,n}^{(j)};\ \psi_{g,n}^{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma_j^* \left(\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \right), \\
\delta_{g,n} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} (\partial\mathcal{M}_{g,n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the kth residue map $\mathrm{Res}_{\sigma_j}^k$ given by $\mathrm{Res}_{\sigma_j}^k \left(\eta \, (dz_j/z_j)^k \right) = \eta$, where z_j denotes a local coordinate on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}$ around σ_j, we have

$$\lambda_{g,n;k} \cong \det \pi_* \left(\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}^{k} \left((k-1) \sum_j \sigma_j \right) \right).$$

In particular, $\lambda_{g,n;1} \cong \det \pi_* \left(\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \right)$. When $n = 0$, we delete n from these notations, and put $\psi_g = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}$. For a positive integer k, put $d_k = 6k^2 - 6k + 1$.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a unique (up to a sign) isomorphism*

$$\mu_{g,n;k} : \lambda_{g,n;k} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \lambda_{g,n;1}^{\otimes d_k} \otimes \left(\psi_{g,n} \otimes \delta_{g,n}^{\otimes(-1)} \right)^{\otimes (k^2-k)/2}.$$

on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ which is called the Mumford isomorphism.

Proof. The uniqueness follows from the properness of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. The existence is shown by Mumford [M2] when $n = 0$, namely we have an isomorphism

$$\lambda_{g+n;k} \cong \lambda_{g+n;1}^{\otimes d_k} \otimes \delta_{g+n}^{\otimes (k-k^2)/2}.$$

Let $\mathrm{cl}_1 : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}^{\times n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+n}$ be the clutching morphism given by identifying the jth section σ_j over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and the unique section of the jth component of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}^{\times n}$ $(1 \leq j \leq n)$. Then by [Fre, Corollary 3.4],

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{cl}_1^* (\lambda_{g+n;k}) &\cong \lambda_{g,n;k} \boxtimes \lambda_{1,1;k}^{\boxtimes n}, \\
\mathrm{cl}_1^* (\delta_{g+n}) &\cong \left(\delta_{g,n} \otimes \psi_{g,n}^{\otimes(-1)} \right) \boxtimes \left(\delta_{1,1} \otimes \psi_{1,1}^{\otimes(-1)} \right)^{\boxtimes n},
\end{aligned}$$

and there exists an isomorphism between $\lambda_{g,n;k} \boxtimes \lambda_{1,1;k}^{\boxtimes n}$ and

$$\left(\lambda_{g,n;1}^{\otimes d_k} \otimes \left(\delta_{g,n} \otimes \psi_{g,n}^{\otimes(-1)}\right)^{\otimes(k-k^2)/2}\right) \boxtimes \left(\lambda_{1,1;1}^{\otimes d_k} \otimes \left(\delta_{1,1} \otimes \psi_{1,1}^{\otimes(-1)}\right)^{\otimes(k-k^2)/2}\right)^{\boxtimes n}.$$

Denote by $\Delta : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}^{\times n}$ the section corresponding to the n copies of the 1-pointed stable curve obtained from $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by identifying 0 and ∞ with marked point 1. Then taking the pullback of the above isomorphism by $\mathrm{id} \times \Delta : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}^{\times n}$, we have the required isomorphism. $\square$

Remark. Let $\kappa_{g,n}$ be the line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ defined as the following Deligne's pairing:

$$\kappa_{g,n} = \left\langle \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \left(\sum_j \sigma_j\right), \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g,n}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \left(\sum_j \sigma_j\right) \right\rangle.$$

Then by [W, 2.2] and [Fre, Theorem 3.5],

$$\lambda_{g,n;k}^{\otimes 12} \otimes \psi_{g,n} \otimes \delta_{g,n}^{\otimes(-1)} \cong \kappa_{g,n}^{\otimes d_k},$$

and hence by comparing the isomorphism with that obtained by $k = 1$, we have

$$\lambda_{g,n;k}^{\otimes 12} \cong \lambda_{g,n;1}^{\otimes 12 d_k} \otimes \left(\psi_{g,n} \otimes \delta_{g,n}^{\otimes(-1)}\right)^{6(k^2-k)}.$$

This seems not imply directly the theorem since we do not know whether the Picard group of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is torsion-free or not.

3.2. Let $\mathrm{cl}_2 : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+2} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+1,n}$ be the clutching morphism given by identifying the sections σ_{n+1} and σ_{n+2}.

Proposition 3.2. *We have the following isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{cl}_2^*\left(\lambda_{g+1,n;k}\right) &\cong \lambda_{g,n+2;k}, \\
\mathrm{cl}_2^*\left(\psi_{g+1,n}\right) &\cong \psi_{g,n+2} \otimes \left(\psi_{g,n+2}^{(n+1)}\right)^{\otimes(-1)} \otimes \left(\psi_{g,n+2}^{(n+2)}\right)^{\otimes(-1)}, \\
\mathrm{cl}_2^*\left(\delta_{g+1,n}\right) &\cong \delta_{g,n+2} \otimes \left(\psi_{g,n+2}^{(n+1)}\right)^{\otimes(-1)} \otimes \left(\psi_{g,n+2}^{(n+2)}\right)^{\otimes(-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\mathrm{cl}_2^*\left(\mu_{g+1,n;k}\right) = \pm\mu_{g,n+2;k}$.

Proof. Let $(\pi : C \to S; \sigma_1, ..., \sigma_{n+2})$ be an $(n+2)$-pointed stable curve of genus g, and $(\pi' : C' \to S; \sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n)$ be the n-pointed stable curve of $g+1$ obtained from C by identifying σ_{n+1} and σ_{n+2}. Then as is shown in [K, Section 1], $\pi'_* \left(\omega_{C'}^k \left(k \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$, where $\varphi : \pi_* \left(\omega_C^k \left(k \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \sigma_j\right)\right) \to \mathcal{O}_S$ is given by

$$\varphi(\eta) = \mathrm{Res}^k_{\sigma_{n+1}}(\eta) - (-1)^k \mathrm{Res}^k_{\sigma_{n+2}}(\eta).$$

Therefore, we have the first isomorphism. The second and third ones are shown in [K, Theorem 4.3], and hence the last identity follows from the uniqueness of the Mumford isomorphism. □

3.3. Let $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$ be a marked Schottky group of rank $g > 1$, and $X_\Gamma = \Omega_\Gamma / \Gamma$ be the Riemann surface uniformized by Γ with n-marked points given by $s_1, ..., s_n \in \Omega_\Gamma$. Denote by $\mathbb{C}[z]_d$ the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$ of z with degree $\leq d$. For $k > 1$, we have a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form $\Psi_{g,n;k}$ on

$$H^0 \left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma} \left(k \sum_j s_j\right)\right) \times \left(H^1 \left(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}\right) \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}[z]_{k-1}\right)^{\oplus n}\right)$$

which is defined as

$$\Psi_{g,n;k} \left(\varphi (dz)^k, (\xi, (f_j)_j)\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{i=1}^g \oint_{\partial D_i} \varphi \cdot \xi(\gamma_i) dz + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathrm{Res}_{s_j}(\varphi \cdot f_j dz).$$

Proposition 3.3. *If $k > 1$, then $\Psi_{g,n;k}$ is non-degenerate.*

Proof. This follows easily from that $\Psi_{g;k}$ in 2.2 is non-degenerate. □

Let

$$\{\xi_{1,n-1}, \xi_{2,1}, ..., \xi_{2,2k-2}, \xi_{i,0}, ..., \xi_{i,2k-2} \ (3 \leq l \leq g)\}$$

be the basis of $H^1 \left(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}\right)$ given in 2.2. Then this basis together with

$$\left\{z^{d_j} \ (1 \leq j \leq n, 0 \leq d_j \leq k-1)\right\}$$

give a basis of $H^1 \left(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}\right) \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}[z]_{k-1}\right)^{\oplus n}$. Put

$$h_k \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \dim H^0 \left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma} \left(k \sum_j s_j\right)\right) = (2k-1)(g-1) + kn,$$

and denote by $\{\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{h_k}\}$ its dual basis of $H^0\left(X_\Gamma, \Omega^k_{X_\Gamma}\left(k\sum_j s_j\right)\right)$ for $\Psi_{g,n;k}$, and call it *normalized*. The following theorem will be proved in Section 6.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $F(k)$ be the holomorphic function given in the formulas of Zograf and of McIntyre-Takhtajan. Then*

$$\mu_{g,n;k}\left(\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{h_k}\right) = \pm\frac{F(1)^{d_k}}{F(k)}(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_g)^{\otimes d_k} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} d(z-s_j)^{\otimes(k^2-k)/2}.$$

4. Tate curve

4.1. We review the Tate curve (cf. [Si, T]) which is the generalized elliptic curve over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ of integral power series of q, and is the universal elliptic curve over the ring $\mathbb{Z}((q)) = \mathbb{Z}[[q]][1/q]$ of integral Laurent power series of q. Put

$$s_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n^k q^n}{1-q^n}, \quad a_4(q) = -5s_3(q), \quad a_6(q) = -\frac{5s_3(q)+7s_5(q)}{12}$$

which belong to $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$. Then the Tate elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_q$ is defined as

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + a_4(q)x + a_6(q),$$

and is formally represented as $\mathbb{G}_m/\langle q\rangle$ with the origin o given by $1 \in \mathbb{G}_m$. Therefore, dz/z ($z \in \mathbb{G}_m$) is a regular 1-form on $\mathcal{E}_q$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
X(z) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{q^n z}{(1-q^n z)^2} - 2s_1(q)\\
&= \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{q^n z}{(1-q^n z)^2} + \frac{q^n z^{-1}}{(1-q^n z^{-1})^2} - 2\frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)^2}\right),\\
Y(z) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{(q^n z)^2}{(1-q^n z)^3} + s_1(q)\\
&= \frac{z^2}{(1-z)^3} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(q^n z)^2}{(1-q^n z)^3} + \frac{q^n z^{-1}}{(1-q^n z^{-1})^3} + \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

are meromorphic functions on $\mathcal{E}_q$ which have only one pole at o of order 2 and 3 respectively. Hence for each positive integer k, $H^0\left(\mathcal{E}_q, \Omega^k_{\mathcal{E}_q}(ko)\right)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}((q))$-module of rank k

generated by $(dz/z)^k$ and $\alpha_i(z)(dz/z)^k$ $(2 \leq i \leq k)$, where $\alpha_i(z)$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathcal{E}_q$ with only one pole at o of order i.

4.2. We consider the Tate curve with marked points. For variables $t_1, ..., t_h$, put

$$R = \mathbb{Z}\left[t_k, \frac{1}{t_k}, \frac{1}{t_k - 1}, \frac{1}{t_l - t_m} \ (1 \leq k, l, m \leq h, \ l \neq m)\right].$$

Then each t_i gives a point on $\mathcal{E}_q \otimes R((q))$ which we denote by the same symbol, and

$$V = H^0\left(\mathcal{E}_q \otimes R((q)), \Omega^k_{\mathcal{E}_q \otimes R((q))}\left(k\sum_{j=1}^{h} t_j\right)\right)$$

is a free $R((q))$-module of rank hk. Its basis consists of certain products of $X(z/t_j)/t_j^2$, $Y(z/t_j)/t_j^3$ times $(dz/z)^k$. If we put $t_1 = 1$, then the corresponding point becomes the origin o.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists an $R((q))$-basis of the above V which gives the normalized basis.*

Proof. This follows from that $\mathrm{Res}_{z=0}\left(z^{k-1}(dz/z^k)\right) = 1$ and that $X(z/t_j)/t_j^2, Y(z/t_j)/t_j^3$ have Laurent expansion at $z = 0$ with coefficients in $R((q))$. $\square$

5. Arithmetic Schottky uniformization

5.1. Arithmetic Schottky uniformization theory [I2] constructs a higher genus version of the Tate curve, and its 1-forms and periods. We review this theory for the special case concerned with universal deformations of irreducible degenerate curves.

Denote by $\Delta = \Delta_g$ the graph with one vertex and g loops. Let $x_{\pm 1}, ..., x_{\pm g}$, $y_1, ..., y_g$ be variables, and put

$$\begin{aligned} A_g &= \mathbb{Z}\left[x_k, \frac{1}{x_l - x_m} \ (k, l, m \in \{\pm 1, ..., \pm g\}, \ l \neq m)\right], \\ A_\Delta &= A_g[[y_1, ...y_g]], \\ B_\Delta &= A_\Delta\left[1/y_i \ (1 \leq i \leq g)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is shown in [I2, Section 3] that there exists a stable curve C_Δ of genus g over A_Δ which satisfies the followings:

- C_Δ is a universal deformation of the universal degenerate curve with dual graph Δ which is obtained from $\mathbb{P}^1_{A_g}$ by identifying x_i and x_{-i} $(1 \leq i \leq g)$. The ideal of A_Δ generated by $y_1, ..., y_g$ corresponds to the closed substack $\partial\mathcal{M}_g = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g - \mathcal{M}_g$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ via the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(A_\Delta) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ associated with C_Δ.

- C_Δ is smooth over B_Δ, and is Mumford uniformized (cf. [M1]) by the subgroup Γ_Δ of $PGL_2(B_\Delta)$ with g generators

$$\phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_i & x_{-i} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & y_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_i & x_{-i} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mod \left(B_\Delta^\times\right) \ (1 \leq i \leq g).$$

  Furthermore, C_Δ has the following universality: for a complete integrally closed noetherian local ring R with quotient field K and a Mumford curve C over K such that Δ is the dual graph of its degenerate reduction, there is a ring homomorphism $A_\Delta \to R$ which gives rise to $C_\Delta \otimes_{A_\Delta} K \cong C$.

- By substituting $\alpha_{\pm i} \in \mathbb{C}$ to $x_{\pm i}$ and $q_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ to y_i $(1 \leq i \leq g)$, C_Δ becomes the Riemann surface Schottky uniformized by $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g \rangle$ if $\alpha_{\pm i}$ are mutually different and q_i are sufficiently small.

Actually, C_Δ is constructed in [I2] as the quotient of a certain subspace of $\mathbb{P}^1_{B_\Delta}$ by the action of Γ using the theory of formal schemes. Furthermore, as is shown in [MD] and [I1, Section 3], the normalized holomorphic 1-forms ω_i $(1 \leq i \leq g)$ on Schottky uniformized Riemann surfaces X_Γ have the universal expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\phi \in \Gamma_\Delta / \langle \phi_i \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{z - \phi(x_i)} - \frac{1}{z - \phi(x_{-i})} \right) \\ = \ & \left(\frac{1}{z - x_i} - \frac{1}{z - x_{-i}} \right) + \sum_{\phi \in \Gamma_\Delta / \langle \phi_i \rangle - \{1\}} \left(\frac{1}{z - \phi(x_i)} - \frac{1}{z - \phi(x_{-i})} \right) + \cdots \\ \in \ & A_\Delta \left[\prod_{k=1}^{g} \frac{1}{(z - x_k)(z - x_{-k})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

which make a basis of regular 1-forms on C_Δ. We denote this basis on C_Δ by the same symbol $\{\omega_i\}$.

Let Δ_{g-1} be the graph with one vertex and $(g-1)$ loops, and put

$$A_{g-1} = \mathbb{Z}\left[x_k, \frac{1}{x_l - x_m} \ (k, l, m \in \{\pm 1, ..., \pm(g-1)\}, \ l \neq m) \right].$$

Then we have the generalized Tate curve $C_{\Delta_{g-1}}$ of genus $g-1$ over $A_{g-1}[[y_1,...,y_{g-1}]]$.

Proposition 5.1.
(1) *The stable curve* $C_\Delta|_{y_g=0}$ *is obtained from* $C_{\Delta_{g-1}} \otimes_{A_{g-1}} A_g$ *by identifying* $x_g = x_{-g}$.
(2) *The set* $\left\{\omega_i|_{y_g=0} \mid 1 \le i \le g\right\}$ *is the normalized basis of* $H^0\left(C_\Delta|_{y_g=0}, \omega_{C_\Delta|_{y_g=0}}\right)$.

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from the universality of C_Δ and $C_{\Delta_{g-1}}$. The assertion (2) follows from that $\omega_i|_{y_g=0}$ $(i<g)$ are normalized regular 1-forms on $C_{\Delta_{g-1}}$ and that

$$\omega_g|_{y_g=0} = \left(\frac{1}{z-x_g} - \frac{1}{z-x_{-g}} + \cdots\right) dz.$$

These facts are implied by the variational formula (cf. [F, Chapter III]), and also by the universal expression of ω_i. $\square$

5.2. In what follows, $\phi_1,...,\phi_g$ are *normalized* by considering x_1, x_{-1} as $0, \infty$ respectively, namely,

$$\phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mod \left(B_\Delta^\times\right),$$

and by putting $x_2 = 1$. Then as is shown in [I2, 1.1], the associated generalized Tate curve C_Δ is defined over $A'_\Delta = A'_g[[y_1,...,y_g]]$, where A'_g is obtained from A_g by deleting x_{-1} and putting $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 1$.

Proposition 5.2.
(1) *The infinite products*

$$\prod_{\{\gamma\}} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - q_\gamma^{1+m}\right), \quad \left(1-q_{\gamma_1}\right)^2 \cdots \left(1-q_{\gamma_1}^{k-1}\right)^2 \left(1-q_{\gamma_2}^{k-1}\right) \prod_{\{\gamma\}} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(1-q_\gamma^{k+m}\right)$$

given in 2.2 have universal expression as elements of A'_Δ.
(2) *Under* $y_2 = \cdots = y_g = 0$, *these elements of* A'_Δ *becomes* $\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1-y_1^{1+m}\right)^2$ *which is primitive, i.e., not congruent to* 0 *modulo any rational prime.*

Proof. Let $(\Gamma; \gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)$ be a normalized Schottky group, and for $i = 1,...,g$, put $\gamma_{-i} = \gamma_i^{-1}$. Then by Proposition 1.3 of [I2] and its proof, if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has the reduced expression $\gamma_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \gamma_{\sigma(l)}$ $(\sigma(i) \in \{\pm 1,...,\pm g\})$ such that $\sigma(1) \ne -\sigma(l)$, then its multiplier

q_γ has universal expression as an element of A'_Δ divisible by $y_{\sigma(1)}\cdots y_{\sigma(l)}$. Therefore, the assertion (1) holds. Since

$$(1-y_1)^2\cdots\left(1-y_1^{k-1}\right)^2\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1-y_1^{k+m}\right)^2=\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(1-y_1^{1+m}\right)^2,$$

the assertion (2) holds. □

6. Product formula of Mumford forms

6.1. First, we will prove the following:

Theorem 6.1. *If $n=0$, then Theorem 3.4 holds.*

Proof. As in Section 5, let C_Δ be the generalized Tate curve over A'_Δ of genus g which is uniformized by Γ_Δ, where the generators $\phi_1,...,\phi_g$ of Γ_Δ is normalized as $x_1=0$, $x_{-1}=\infty$, $x_2=1$. As in stated in 5.1, we can consider C_Δ as a family of Schottky uniformized Riemann surfaces by taking $x_{\pm i}$, y_i as complex parameters $\alpha_{\pm i}$, q_i respectively such that q_i are sufficiently small. By Proposition 5.1, $C_\Delta|_{y_2=\cdots=y_g=0}$ becomes the stable curve C'_Δ obtained from the Tate curve $\mathcal{E}_{y_1}=\mathbb{G}_m/\langle y_1\rangle$ over $A'_g[[y_1]]$ by identifying $x_i=x_{-i}$ $(2\le i\le g)$. Hence by the properties of the relative dualizing sheaf [K, Section 1], $H^0\left(C'_\Delta,\omega^k_{C'_\Delta}\right)$ becomes the subspace of

$$W=H^0\left(\mathcal{E}_{y_1},\omega^k_{\mathcal{E}_{y_1}}\left(k\sum_{i=2}^{g}(x_i+x_{-i})\right)\right)$$

which consists of $\eta\in W$ satisfying $\mathrm{Res}^k_{x_i}(\eta)=(-1)^k\mathrm{Res}^k_{x_{-i}}(\eta)$. By Proposition 4.1, there exists the normalized basis of W as an $A'_g((y_1))$-basis, and hence there exists a basis $\{\phi_l\}$ of $H^0\left(C_\Delta,\omega^k_{C_\Delta}\right)\otimes A'_\Delta[1/y_1]$ which converges to the normalized basis if $y_2,...,y_g\to 0$. For each $i=2,...,g$, let

$$z_i=\frac{(x_i-x_{-i})(z-x_i)}{z-x_{-i}},\ z_{-i}=\frac{(x_{-i}-x_i)(z-x_{-i})}{z-x_i}$$

be the local coordinates at x_i, x_{-i} respectively such that

$$\lim_{z\to x_i}(z-x_i)/z_i=\lim_{z\to x_{-i}}(z-x_{-i})/z_i=1.$$

Then the transformation matrices of

$$\left(z^j\right)_{0\le j\le l} \mapsto \left((z-x_{\pm i})^j\right)_{0\le j\le l},\ \left((z-x_{\pm i})^j\right)_{0\le j\le l} \mapsto \left(z_{\pm i}^j \bmod \left(z_{\pm i}^{l+1}\right)\right)_{0\le j\le l}$$

have determinant 1, and hence we may replace the exterior product of the normalized basis with that of the basis of $H^0\left(C_\Delta, \omega_{C_\Delta}^k\right)$ dual to $\left(z_{\pm i}^j\right)_{1\le i\le g}$. Since C_Δ is obtained from $\mathcal{E}_{y_1}$ by the equation $z_i z_{-i} = -(x_i - x_{-i})^2 y_i$ $(2 \le i \le g)$,

$$\frac{(dz_i)^k}{z_i^{k+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{(dz_i)^k}{z_i^{2k-1}} = \pm\left((x_i - x_{-i})^2 y_i\right)^{-(k^2-k)/2} \frac{(dz_{-i})^k}{z_{-i}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{(dz_{-i})^k}{z_{-i}^{k-1}}.$$

Further, $\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \oint_{C_{\pm i}} \to \mathrm{Res}_{x_{\pm i}}$ under $y_i \to 0$. Hence if $k > 1$ and $y_2, ..., y_g \to 0$, then

$$\prod_{i=2}^{g} \left((x_i - x_{-i})^2 y_i\right)^{(k^2-k)/2} \det\left(\Psi_{g;k}(\phi_l, \xi_m)\right)_{l,m} \to \pm 1,$$

where $\{\xi_m\}$ is the natural basis

$$\{\xi_{1,k-1}, \xi_{2,1}, ..., \xi_{2,2k-2}, \xi_{i,0}, ..., \xi_{i,2k-2}\ (3 \le i \le g)\}$$

given in 2.2. Therefore, for the normalized basis $\{\varphi_l\}$ of holomorphic k-forms on C_Δ, $\prod_{i=2}^g \left((x_i - x_{-i})^2 y_i\right)^{(k^2-k)/2} \bigwedge_l \varphi_l$ becomes the exterior product of the normalized basis of W up to a sign under $y_2, ..., y_g \to 0$. Hence by Theorem 3.1 (in the case when $n = 0$), Propositions 3.2, 5.1 and 5.2, if $y_2, ..., y_g \to 0$, then

$$c(g;k) \cdot \mu_{1,2g-2;k} = \pm \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_1^m)^{24} \times \prod_{i=2}^{g} (x_i - x_{-i})^2$$

under the evaluation on the normalized basis of the above W. Therefore, by Proposition 4.1, we have $c(g;k) = \pm 1$. □

Corollary 6.2. *Let c_g and $c_{g;k}$ be the constants given in the formulas of Zograf and of McIntyre-Takhtajan respectively. Then*

$$c_{g;k} = (c_g)^{d_k} \exp\left[(1-g)(k^2-k)\left(24\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}'(-1) - 1\right)\right].$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 6.1. □

6.2. We give a sketch of another proof of Theorem 6.1 in the case where $k = 2$. Let $\zeta_{i,j}$ $(1 \leq i \leq g,\ 0 \leq j \leq 2)$ be the map from $\{\phi_1, ..., \phi_g\}$ into $A'_g[z]_2$ defined as

$$\zeta_{i,j}(\phi_l) = \delta_{il}(z - x_i)^j \ (1 \leq l \leq g).$$

By a theorem of Max Noether, the space of regular 2-forms on a genus 2 or non-hyperelliptic curve is generated by the products of regular 1-forms. Since the paring given by Ψ between $\omega_k\omega_l$ and $\zeta_{i,j}$ has universal expression as an element of A'_Δ, to prove the assertion, it is enough to show that for a field K of any characteristic, the matrix consisting of the pairings between

$$\left\{\omega_l^2 \ (1 \leq l \leq g),\ \omega_1\omega_l \ (2 \leq l \leq g),\ \omega_2\omega_l \ (3 \leq l \leq g)\right\}$$

and

$$\{\zeta_{1,1},\ \zeta_{2,1},\ \zeta_{2,2},\ \zeta_{i,0},\ \zeta_{i,1},\ \zeta_{i,2} \ (3 \leq i \leq g)\}$$

is invertible over the quotient field K_Δ of $A'_\Delta \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}} K$.

Let I_Δ be the ideal of A'_Δ generated by $y_1, ..., y_g$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(\omega_1\omega_l, \zeta_{i,0}) \equiv \dfrac{\delta_{il}(x_1 - x_{-1})}{(x_i - x_1)(x_i - x_{-1})} \bmod (I_\Delta) & (2 \leq l \leq g), \\ \Psi(\omega_2\omega_l, \zeta_{i,0}) \equiv \dfrac{\delta_{il}(x_2 - x_{-2})}{(x_i - x_2)(x_i - x_{-2})} \bmod (I_\Delta) & (3 \leq l \leq g) \end{cases}$$

for $3 \leq i \leq g$,

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(\omega_l^2, \zeta_{i,1}) \equiv \delta_{il} \bmod (I_\Delta) & (1 \leq l \leq g), \\ \Psi(\omega_1\omega_l, \zeta_{i,1}) \equiv 0 \bmod (I_\Delta) & (2 \leq l \leq g), \\ \Psi(\omega_2\omega_l, \zeta_{i,1}) \equiv 0 \bmod (I_\Delta) & (3 \leq l \leq g) \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq g$, and

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(\omega_1\omega_l, \zeta_{i,2}) \equiv \dfrac{\delta_{il}(x_1 - x_{-1})(x_i - x_{-i})^2}{(x_{-i} - x_1)(x_{-i} - x_{-1})} y_i \bmod (I_\Delta^2) & (2 \leq l \leq g), \\ \Psi(\omega_2\omega_l, \zeta_{2,2}) \equiv \dfrac{(x_l - x_{-l})(x_2 - x_{-2})^2}{(x_l - x_{-2})(x_{-l} - x_{-2})} y_2 \bmod (I_\Delta^2), \\ \Psi(\omega_2\omega_l, \zeta_{i,2}) \equiv \dfrac{\delta_{il}(x_2 - x_{-2})(x_i - x_{-i})^2}{(x_{-i} - x_2)(x_{-i} - x_{-2})} y_i \bmod (I_\Delta^2) & (i \neq 2,\ 3 \leq l \leq g) \end{cases}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq g$. Therefore, the lowest term of this determinant is the product

$$\prod_{k=3}^{g} \sigma_k \cdot \prod_{k=2}^{g} \tau_k,$$

where

$$\sigma_k = \frac{x_2 - x_{-2}}{(x_k - x_2)(x_k - x_{-2})},\ \tau_2 = \frac{(x_1 - x_{-1})(x_2 - x_{-2})^2}{(x_{-2} - x_1)(x_{-2} - x_{-1})} y_2,$$

and for $3 \leq k \leq g$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k &\equiv \Psi\left(\omega_1\omega_k, \zeta_{k,2}\right) - \Psi\left(\omega_2\omega_k, \zeta_{k,2}\right) \frac{\Psi\left(\omega_1\omega_k, \zeta_{k,0}\right)}{\Psi\left(\omega_2\omega_k, \zeta_{k,0}\right)} \bmod \left(I_\Delta^2\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{(x_{-k} - x_1)(x_{-k} - x_{-1})} - \frac{(x_k - x_2)(x_k - x_{-2})}{(x_{-k} - x_2)(x_{-k} - x_{-2})(x_k - x_1)(x_k - x_{-1})} \right) \\ &\quad \times (x_1 - x_{-1})(x_k - x_{-k})^2 y_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence this product is invertible in K_Δ and the assertion holds.

6.3. Second, we deduce Theorem 3.4 from Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let $(\pi : C \to S; \sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n)$ be an n-pointed stable curve of genus $g \geq 2$, Then for non-negative integers $l_1, ..., l_n \leq k$, the l_ith residue map gives the exact sequence

$$0 \to \pi_* \left(\omega_C^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^n l_j \sigma_j \right) \right) \to \pi_* \left(\omega_C^k \left(\sum_{j \neq i} l_j \sigma_j + (l_i + 1)\sigma_i \right) \right) \to \mathcal{O}_S \cdot (dz_{\sigma_i})^{k - l_i - 1} \to 0,$$

where dz_{σ_i} denotes the local coordinate around σ_i. Therefore, by induction,

$$\det \pi_* \left(\omega_C^k \left(k \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j \right) \right) \cong \det \pi_* \left(\omega_C^k \right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (dz_{\sigma_i})^{(k^2 - k)/2}.$$

If C consists of Schottky uniformized Riemann surfaces with marked points $s_1, ..., s_n$, then the residue map sends the normalized basis to $\left\{ d(z - s_i)^{k - l_i - 1} \right\}$, and hence the assertion follows from Theorem 6.1. $\square$

7. Rationality of Ruelle zeta values

7.1. Assume that $g > 1$, let $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$ be a marked normalized Schottky group, and $F_{(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)}(k)$ be the value of $F(k)$ at the corresponding point on $\mathfrak{S}_g$. Let R be a subring of $\mathbb{C}$ containing 1 such that X_Γ has a model $\mathcal{X}$ as a stable curve over R, and assume that

there exist R-bases $\{u_1, ..., u_g\}$ of $H^0\left(\mathcal{X}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/R}\right)$ and $\{v_1, ..., v_{(2k-1)(g-1)}\}$ of $H^0\left(\mathcal{X}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/R}^k\right)$ for $k > 1$. Then their periods are defined as

$$\Omega_1 = \det\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\oint_{C_i} u_j\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq g},$$

and

$$\Omega_k = \det\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\sum_{i=1}^{g}\oint_{C_i} v_l \cdot \xi_m(\gamma_i)\right)_{1\leq l,m\leq (2k-1)(g-1)},$$

where $\left\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_{(2k-1)(g-1)}\right\}$ is the basis $\{\xi_{1,k-1},\ \xi_{2,1}, ..., \xi_{2,2k-2},\ \xi_{i,0}, ..., \xi_{i,2k-2}\ (3 \leq i \leq g)\}$ of $H^1\left(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[z]_{2k-2}\right)$ given in 2.2. We consider the rationality of

$$\frac{F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)}(k)}{\Omega_k},$$

and its relation with the discriminant ideal $D_{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\mathcal{X}$. Here $D_{\mathcal{X}}$ is defined as an ideal of R which corresponds to the closed substack $\partial\mathcal{M}_g = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g - \mathcal{M}_g$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ via the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ associated with $\mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 7.1.

(1) *Under the above notations, the ratio*

$$\frac{\left(F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)}(1)/\Omega_1\right)^{d_k}}{F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)}(k)/\Omega_k}$$

belongs to the power $D_{\mathcal{X}}^{(k^2-k)/2}$ *of* $D_{\mathcal{X}}$.

(2) *If* R *is a discrete valuation ring and* $d_{\mathcal{X}}$ *is a generator of* $D_{\mathcal{X}}$, *then*

$$\frac{\left(F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)}(1)/\Omega_1\right)^{d_k}}{F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)}(k)/\Omega_k} \in d_{\mathcal{X}}^{(k^2-k)/2} \cdot R^{\times},$$

where $R^{\times}$ *denotes the unit group of* R.

(3) *If* $\mathcal{X}$ *is smooth over* R, *then*

$$\frac{\left(F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)}(1)/\Omega_1\right)^{d_k}}{F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)}(k)/\Omega_k} \in R^{\times}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, this ratio is (up to a sign) the evaluation of the Mumford form $\mu_{g;k}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ under the trivializations of λ_1 and λ_k by $u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_g$ and $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{(2k-1)(g-1)}$ respectively. Hence the assertions follows from by Theorem 3.1. $\square$

7.2. Let Γ be a Schottky group, and denote by $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ the hyperbolic 3-fold uniformized by Γ. Then for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $-\log|q_\gamma|$ is the length of the closed geodesic on $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ corresponding to γ, and hence the Ruelle zeta function of $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ becomes

$$R_\Gamma(s) = \prod_{\{\gamma\}} \left(1 - |q_\gamma|^s\right)^{-1},$$

where $\{\gamma\}$ runs over primitive conjugacy classes of Γ. It is known (cf. [MT, 5.2]) that $R_\Gamma(s)$ is absolutely convergent if $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq 2$. We assume that a marked normalized Schottky group $(\Gamma; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_g)$ is contained in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and apply Theorem 7.1 to showing the rationality of the *modified* Ruelle zeta values

$$\widetilde{R}_\Gamma(k) = R_\Gamma(k) \frac{\left(1 - q_{\gamma_1}^k\right)^2 \left(1 - q_{\gamma_2}^k\right)}{\left(1 - q_{\gamma_2}^{k-1}\right)}$$

for integers $k > 1$.

Theorem 7.2. *Assume that $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and k is an integer > 1. Put*

$$c(\Gamma) = \frac{F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,...,\gamma_g)}(1)}{\Omega_1}.$$

(1) *If K denotes the quotient field of R, then*

$$\widetilde{R}_\Gamma(k) \in \frac{\Omega_{k+1}}{\Omega_k} \cdot c(\Gamma)^{12k} \cdot K^\times.$$

(2) *If R is a discrete valuation ring, then*

$$\widetilde{R}_\Gamma(k) \in \frac{\Omega_{k+1}}{\Omega_k} \cdot c(\Gamma)^{12k} \cdot d_\chi^{-k} \cdot R^\times.$$

(3) *If $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth over R, then*

$$\widetilde{R}_\Gamma(k) \in \frac{\Omega_{k+1}}{\Omega_k} \cdot c(\Gamma)^{12k} \cdot R^\times.$$

Proof. By the assumption, $q_\gamma = |q_\gamma|$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{1\}$, and hence

$$\widetilde{R}_\Gamma(k) = \frac{F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_g)}(k+1)}{F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_g)}(k)}.$$

Therefore, the assertion follows from Theorem 7.1. □

Corollary 7.3. *Assume that a Schottky group Γ is contained in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and a subring R of $\mathbb{C}$ is a Dedekind ring such that X_Γ has a model $\mathcal{X}$ as a stable curve over R. Denote by K the quotient field of R. For $j = 1, k, k+1$, let $\left\{v_i^{(j)}\right\}_i$ be a K-basis of $H^0\left(\mathcal{X} \otimes K, \omega^j_{\mathcal{X}\otimes K/K}\right)$, and denote by Ω_j the period of this basis.*

(1) *Put*

$$c(\Gamma) = \frac{F_{(\Gamma;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_g)}(1)}{\Omega_1}.$$

Then there exists an element $r_\mathcal{X}$ of $K^\times$ such that

$$\widetilde{R}_\Gamma(k) \in \frac{\Omega_{k+1}}{\Omega_k} \cdot c(\Gamma)^{12k} \cdot r_\mathcal{X}.$$

(2) *For each prime ideal P of R, $\mathrm{ord}_P(r_\mathcal{X})$ is given by*

$$k \cdot \mathrm{ord}_P(d_\mathcal{X}) + 12k \cdot \mathrm{ord}_P\left(\bigwedge v_i^{(1)}\right) + \mathrm{ord}_P\left(\bigwedge v_i^{(k)}\right) - \mathrm{ord}_P\left(\bigwedge v_i^{(k+1)}\right),$$

where $\mathrm{ord}_P\left(\bigwedge v_i^{(j)}\right)$ denotes the order at P of the fractional ideal of R_P generated by the exterior product of $\left\{v_i^{(j)}\right\}_i$ under the identification

$$R_P = \det\left(H^0\left(\mathcal{X} \otimes R_P, \omega^j_{\mathcal{X}\otimes R_P/R_P}\right)\right).$$

References

[B] A. Beilinson, Higher regulators and values of L-functions, J. Sov. Math. **30** (1985) 2036–2070.

[BK] S. Bloch and K. Kato, L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives, in: The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. I, Progr. Math. Vol. 86, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990, pp. 333–400.

[D1] P. Deligne, Valeurs de fonctions L et periodes d'integrales, in: Automorphic forms, representations, and L-functions, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math., Vol. 33, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, pp. 313–346.

[D2] P. Deligne, Le déterminant de la cohomologie, in: Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., Vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, pp. 93–177.

[F] J. D. Fay, Theta-functions on Riemann surfaces, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 352, Springer, 1973.

[Fre] G. Freixas i Montplet, An arithmetic Hilbert-Samuel theorem for pointed stable curves, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **14** (2012) 321–351.

[Fri] D. Fried, Analytic torsion and closed geodesics on hyperbolic manifolds, Invent. Math. **84** (1986) 523–540.

[GP] Y. Gon and J. Park, The zeta functions of Ruelle and Selberg for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps, Math. Ann. **346** (2010) 719–767.

[GS] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, Arithmetic intersection theory, Pub. Math. IHES **72** (1990) 94–174.

[I1] T. Ichikawa, P-adic theta functions and solutions of the KP hierarchy, Comm. Math. Phys. **176** (1996) 383–399.

[I2] T. Ichikawa, Generalized Tate curve and integral Teichmüller modular forms, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000) 1139–1174.

[I3] T. Ichikawa, Universal periods of hyperelliptic curves and their applications, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **163** (2001) 277–288.

[I4] T. Ichikawa, Klein's amazing formula and arithmetic of Teichmüller modular forms, preprint.

[K] F. F. Knudsen, The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves II, III, Math. Scand. **52** (1983) 161–199, 200–212.

[MD] Y. Manin and V. Drinfeld, Periods of p-adic Schottky groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. **262/263** (1973) 239–247.

[MT] A. McIntyre and L. A. Takhtajan, Holomorphic factorization of determinants of Laplacians on Riemann surfaces and a higher genus generalization of Kronecker's first limit formula, GAFA Geom. funct. anal. **16** (2006) 1291–1323.

[M1] D. Mumford, An analytic construction of degenerating curves over complete local rings, Compositio Math. **24** (1972) 129–174.

[M2] D. Mumford, Stability of projective varieties, L'Ens. Math. **23** (1977) 39–110.

[P] J. Park, Analytic torsion and Ruelle zeta functions for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009) 1713–1758.

[S] T. Saito, Conductor, discriminant, and the Noether formula of arithmetic surfaces, Duke Math. J. **57** (1988) 151–173.

[Si] J. H. Silverman, Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves, Graduate Texts in Math. Springer, 1994.

[Su1] K. Sugiyama, An analog of the Iwasawa conjecture for a compact hyperbolic three-fold, J. Reine Angew. Math. **613** (2007) 35–50.

[Su2] K. Sugiyama, A special value of Ruelle L-function and the theorem of Cheeger and Müller, arXiv:0803.2079v1

[Su3] K. Sugiyama, The Taylor expansion of Ruelle L-function at the origin and the Borel regulator, arXiv:0804.2715v1

[Su4] K. Sugiyama, On geometric analogues of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for low dimensional hyperbolic manifolds, in: Spectral analysis in geometry and number theory, Contemp. Math., Vol. 484, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009. pp. 267–286.

[Su5] K. Sugiyama, On geometric analogues of Iwasawa main conjecture for a hyperbolic threefold, in: Noncommutativity and singularities, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 55, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2009, pp. 117–135.

[T] J. Tate, A review of non-archimedean elliptic functions, in: Elliptic Curves, Modular forms, & Fermat's Last Theorem, International Press, Boston, 1995, pp. 162–184.

[W] L. Weng, Ω-admissible theory II. Deligne pairings over moduli spaces of punctured Riemann surfaces, Math. Ann. **320** (2001) 239–283.

[Z1] P. G. Zograf, Liouville action on moduli spaces and uniformization of degenerate Riemann surfaces, Algebra i Analiz **1** (1989) 136–160 (Russian), English translation in Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990) 941–965.

[Z2] P. G. Zograf, Determinants of Laplacians, Liouville action, and an analogue of the Dedekind η-function on Teichmüller space, Unpublished manuscript (1997).

[ZT] P. G. Zograf and L. A. Takhtajan, On the uniformization of Riemann surfaces and on the Weil-Petersson metric on the Teichmüller and Schottky spaces, Math. Sb. (N. S.) **132 (174)** (1987) 304–321 (Russian), English translation in Math. USSR-Sb. **60** (1988) 297–313.

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Saga University, Saga 840-8502, Japan

E-mail: ichikawa@ms.saga-u.ac.jp, ichikawn@cc.saga-u.ac.jp